IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

DAVID LEFFLER,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	Case No.
)	
ANN & ROBERT H. LURIE)	
CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL OF)	Jury Trial Demanded
CHICAGO,)	
Defendant.)	

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, David Leffler ("Leffler"), by his attorneys, Marc P. Trent of Trent Law Firm, P.C. hereby complain against Defendant Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago ("Hospital") as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an action for declaratory and injunctive relief and for damages against the defendant to enforce the Plaintiff's rights to be free from race discrimination, discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation, retaliation, and to be free from subjugation to a hostile work environment as secured by Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq.) ("Title VII").

PARTIES

2. Plaintiff Leffler is an adult, heterosexual, Caucasian male, approximately 46 years of age, and was at all times relevant a resident of the territorial jurisdiction of this District Court.

- 3. Defendant Hospital is a non-profit corporation licensed to do business in the State of Illinois. At all times relevant, Hospital did business within the territorial jurisdiction of the District Court.
- 4. On or about January 18, 2018, Leffler began employment with the Hospital as a Maintenance Engineer, Level II.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343, as the district courts have original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States.
- 6. Jurisdiction is also invoked pursuant to the anti-retaliation provisions of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e-3(a); 2000e—5(g)(1).
- 7. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 (b)(1) and (d) because the Defendant Hospital has a principal place of business in this judicial district and all of the acts and occurrence that give rise to this lawsuit took place in this judicial district.
- 8. All jurisdictional prerequisites to a lawsuit under Title VII as well as the retaliation provision under said statute have been met, to wit:
- a. On October 19, 2021, Leffler filed a charge of discrimination under Title VII and the ADEA which was perfected by the Illinois Department of Human Rights ("Department") and by a work sharing agreement between the Department and the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") was cross-filed and perfected at both agencies;
 - b. The charge was filed at the Department as of October 19, 2021 (see Exh. A);

- c. The charge was perfected and stamped "received" by the IDHR and thus was perfected at both the IDHR and the EEOC on October 19, 2021 (*see* Exh. A);
 - d. The charge was assigned EEOC Charge No. 440-2021-05694 (see Exh. A);
- e. The charge pursuant to the work-sharing agreement between agencies was filed within 300 days of the date of the last act of discrimination, retaliation and harassment, which was and were on-going through the date Leffler was terminated;
- f. Leffler received a Right-to-Sue letter from the EEOC dated October 26, 2021 (see Exh. B);
- g. This lawsuit is being filed within 90 days of the date of receipt of the Right-to-Sue letter (see Exh. B);

BACKGROUND

- 9. Plaintiff complains of continuous discrimination on the basis of race and sexual orientation that he experienced, and retaliation that he experienced, after he repeatedly complained beginning on or about April 2020 and continuing to March 2021.
- 10. That on or about January 18, 2018, Hospital hired Leffler to work as a Maintenance Engineer. Other similarly situated employees were non-Caucasian and/or of a sexual orientation other than heterosexual.
- 11. That during the course of Leffler's employment with Hospital, JASON FULLERTON ("Fullerton"), worked alongside Leffler at Hospital.
 - 12. Upon information and belief, Fullerton is a homosexual male.

- 13. That in July 2019, Leffler displayed a United States flag conformant with the Flag Act of 1777, commonly referred to as a "Betsy Ross Flag", in his cubicle.
- 14. That in July 2019, Leffler was ordered to remove his flag, along with several patriotic decals, after an alleged call to the "compliance hotline" reported Leffler's workspace as "offensive" and describing the United States flag as "associated with slavery."
- 15. That on or around April 14, 2020, Leffler was engaged in a conversation regarding musical interests with another coworker when he was confronted by Fullerton, who then and there stated, without provocation "How did you know I was singing that on the way to work?" and continued in song "David Leffler lick my balls, David Leffler is a ball licker."
- 16. That on or around the week of April 21, 2020, Leffler was working with dumbbells during his lunch break when he was approached by Fullerton, who demanded that Leffler explain "why he always has to be moving around" and "why he can't just sit still?"
- 17. That on or around April 28, 2020, Leffler arrived to work at Hospital and engaged in separate conversations with two (2) coworkers, Jacob and Sean.
- 18. Leffler first spoke with Jacob about the topical COVID-19 pandemic, the Presidential administration's response to the pandemic, and the coverage of said response by various popular news media outlets.
 - 19. During the course of said conversation, Leffler observed Fullerton eavesdropping.
- 20. Leffler then was approached by Sean, who wished to speak with him about Christianity. The two spoke of various Christian topics, including the book of Revelation.
 - 21. During the course of said conversation, Leffler observed Fullerton eavesdropping.

- 22. Following the conclusion of Leffler's conversation with Sean, Leffler returned to his desk and took his seat.
 - 23. Immediately following Leffler's return, he was approached by Fullerton.
- 24. Fullerton then stated to Leffler, without provocation, "Don't think that people like you here, everyone talks about you behind your back," and "You've been here two years, you're no longer welcome, your time is up."
- 25. Fullerton continued by berating Leffler for being "unreliable" and accused him of abusing narcotics.
- 26. On or about April 2020, Leffler engaged in conversation with a coworker,

 DARIEN BURTON ("Burton") regarding a firearm Burton had recently purchased and Leffler had also previously owned.
- 27. During said conversation, Leffler also mentioned his recent purchase of a bulletproof vest to Burton in the natural flow of their firearms-related conversation.
- 28. While in the course of conversation, Burton and Leffler were interrupted by Fullerton, who had overheard their conversation.
- 29. Fullerton proceeded to interject into the conversation and accuse Leffler of "having a list" of people Leffler wished to kill, and aggressively interrogating Leffler as to "why anyone would need a bulletproof vest."
- 30. Immediately following this event, an anonymous "hotline report" was allegedly made complaining that Leffler spoke "aggressively" of firearms to another employee; that

Leffler was compiling a "kill list" and that the caller believed he was on it; and that Leffler was abusing narcotics.

- 31. Following this report, Leffler was interviewed by TODD LARSON ("Larson") regarding the allegations made.
- 32. During this conversation, Larson explained that he "understood" Leffler's position, and that he believed action was being taken against him because of the current political climate.
- 33. During the Summer of 2020, a series of civil disturbances involving violence, looting, and damage to property occurred in Chicago and surrounding metropolitan areas stemming from protests organized by "Black Lives Matter" ("BLM").
- 34. Upon information and belief, Larson referred to these events and their preceding incidents when describing the "current political climate."
- 35. Throughout the Summer of 2020, employees of Hospital were required to complete a "check-in" procedure including temperature-taking and hand sanitizing to combat the spread of COVID-19.
- 36. Hospital maintained a particular area with a table for employees to complete their check-in procedures.
- 37. In the area designated for checking in, Hospital displayed a rainbow LGBT Pride Flag, and a basket of BLM buttons tfor employees to take and wear at their discretion.
- 38. Throughout the Summer of 2020, other employees were permitted to pass out BLM stickers with the phrase "Black Lives Matter."

- 39. Leffler dismissed allegations that he had a "hit list" as ridiculous, and explained that he and Burton were simply discussing their shared interest in firearms.
- 40. Leffler continued to explain that he had been continually harassed by employees who supported the "Black Lives Matter movement," referring to him as a "racist," a "militia member," and a "white supremacist," among others.
- 41. Leffler continued to complain to Larson that he was being harassed by Fullerton and subjected to inappropriate sexually-charged insults and comments.
- 42. The interview concluded with no formal action taken, and Leffler was permitted to continue working without incident.
- 43. On or around January 2021, Leffler was laterally transferred to an office in Lincoln Park to perform duties as a Maintenance Engineer.
- 44. On or around February 4, 2021, Leffler had on display in his private office two (2) decals representing his political affiliations.
- 45. On or around February 4, 2021, Leffler was ordered by PHIL ROMINSKI ("Rominski") to remove said decals because he believed them to be "associated with militia groups and white supremacy" and labeled them "offensive."
- 46. On February 5, 2021, Leffler replaced his decals representing particular political groups with more generalized patriotic images, including the 1775 Gadsden flag with the words "Don't Tread on Me" inscribed, and a patch which recited the language of the 2nd Amendment and carried the tagline "The Original Homeland Security."

- 47. On February 18, 2021, Leffler was interviewed by Human Resources, Security and Corporate Compliance regarding the above-referenced allegations.
- 48. During said conversation, Leffler explained that he removed the decals as demanded by Rominski and replaced them with more general patriotic stickers.
- 49. Leffler was told by Hospital that he could not display images of the 1775 Gadsden flag or images that contained the verbatim language of U.S. Const. Amend. II, as they were considered "offensive."
- 50. On March 4, 2021, Leffler received a Letter of Termination from Hospital, which outlined his termination of employment (*see* Exh. C).
- 51. Said letter alleges that "an employee also reported that [Leffler] states that individuals who identify as LGBTQ should not be permitted to serve in the military" but fails to identify when, how, or to whom this alleged conduct took place.
- 52. During the course of Leffler's employment, Leffler received no disciplinary actions preceding his termination.
- 53. During the course of Leffler's employment, he received several written and verbal commendations from doctors and other Hospital staffing for his outstanding performance.
- 54. During the course of Leffler's employment, he performed all duties of his job description satisfactorily and to the standards and demands of Hospital.
- 55. That on March 4, 2021, Leffler's employment as a Maintenance Engineer with Hospital was terminated without legitimate cause or reason.

56. Leffler's termination was pretextual and in retaliation for Leffler's opposition to Hospital's actions described above.

COUNT I TITLE VII Race Discrimination

- 57. Plaintiff restates and realleges paragraphs 1 56 as if specifically set forth herein.
- 58. 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a) makes it unlawful for an employer to discriminate against an employee on the basis of race.
- 59. Defendant violated 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a) by treating Leffler differently than similarly situated African-American employees because of his race.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court:

- A. Find that Hospital discriminated against Leffler, in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964;
- B. Award compensatory and punitive damages to the maximum amount provided by statute;
 - C. Award all back pay lost since the date of terminated plus pre-judgment interest;
 - D. Award attorney's fees, expert witness fees, and costs as provided by law;
 - E. Award forward pay due to decrease in earnings;
- F. Retain jurisdiction over this action to assure full compliance with the order of this Court and with applicable law; and
 - G. Grant such additional relief as this Court deems just and proper.

COUNT II Title VII Race Discrimination – Hostile Work Environment

- 60. Plaintiff restates and realleges paragraphs 1 59 as if specifically set forth herein.
- 61. 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a) makes it unlawful for an employer to discriminate against an employee on the basis of race and affords employees the right to work in an environment free from discriminatory intimidation, ridicule, and insult.
 - 62. Leffler belongs to a protected group.
- 63. Leffler was repeatedly subjected to unwanted harassment based on his race as set forth above.
- 64. Hospital knew and/or should have known of the harassment and failed to take prompt remedial action.
 - 65. At all times hereto, Leffler acted reasonably under the circumstances.
- 66. Hospital is liable for the racial harassment due to a hostile work environment inflicted upon Leffler due to Hospital's violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 as set forth above.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court:

- A. Find that Hospital discriminated against Leffler, in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964;
- B. Award compensatory and punitive damages to the maximum amount provided by statute;
 - C. Award all back pay lost since the date of termination plus pre-judgment interest;

- D. Award attorney's fees, expert witness fees, and costs as provided by law;
- E. Award forward pay due to decrease in earnings;
- F. Retain jurisdiction over this action to assure full compliance with the order of this Court and with applicable law; and
 - G. Grant such additional relief as this Court deems just and proper.

COUNT III TITLE VII Sexual Orientation

- 67. Plaintiff restates and realleges paragraphs 1-66 as if specifically set forth herein.
- 68. 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a) makes it unlawful for an employer to discriminate against an employee on the basis of sexual orientation.
- 69. Defendant violated 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a) by treating Leffler differently than similarly-situated homosexual employees because of his sexual orientation.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court:

- A. Find that Hospital discriminated against Leffler, in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964;
- B. Award compensatory and punitive damages to the maximum amount provided by statute;
 - C. Award all back pay lost since the date of termination plus pre-judgment interest;
 - D. Award attorney's fees, expert witness fees, and costs as provided by law;
 - E. Award forward pay due to decrease in earnings;

- F. Retain jurisdiction over this action to assure full compliance with the order of this Court and with applicable law; and
 - G. Grant such additional relief as this Court deems just and proper.

COUNT IV <u>Title VII Sexual Orientation – Hostile Work Environment</u>

- 70. Plaintiff restates and realleges paragraphs 1 69 as if specifically set forth herein.
- 71. 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a) makes it unlawful for an employer to discriminate against an employee on the basis of sex and affords employees the right to work in an environment free from discriminatory intimidation, ridicule, and insult.
 - 72. Leffler belongs to a protected group.
- 73. Leffler was repeatedly subjected to unwanted discrimination and harassment based on his sexual orientation as set forth above.
- 74. Hospital knew and/or should have known of the discrimination and failed to take prompt remedial action.
 - 75. At all times hereto, Leffler acted reasonably under the circumstances.
- 76. Hospital is liable for discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation due to a hostile work environment inflicted upon Leffler due to Hospital's violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 as set forth above.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court:

A. Find that Hospital discriminated against Leffler, in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964;

- B. Award compensatory and punitive damages to the maximum amount provided by statute;
 - C. Award all back pay lost since the date of termination plus pre-judgment interest;
 - D. Award attorney's fees, expert witness fees, and costs as provided by law;
 - E. Award forward pay due to decrease in earnings;
- F. Retain jurisdiction over this action to assure full compliance with the order of this Court and with applicable law; and
 - G. Grant such additional relief as this Court deems just and proper.

COUNT V TITLE VII Retaliation

- 77. Plaintiff restates and realleges paragraphs 1 76 as if specifically set forth herein..
- 78. 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-3(a) makes it unlawful for an employer to discriminate against an employee because he opposes any practice which is unlawful under Title VII.
- 79. Leffler's opposition to Hospital's actions described above, is protected under 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-3(a).
 - 80. Hospital terminated Leffler's employment in retaliation for his protected conduct.

 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court:
 - A. Find that Defendant discriminated against Plaintiff, in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964;
- B. Award compensatory and punitive damages to the maximum amount provided by statute;
 - C. Award all back pay lost since the date of termination plus pre-judgment interest;
 - D. Award attorney's fees, expert witness fees, and costs as provided by law;

- E. Award forward pay due to decrease in lower earnings;
- F. Retain jurisdiction over this action to assure full compliance with the order of this Court and with applicable law; and
 - G. Grant such additional relief as this Court deems just and proper.

PLAINTIFF DEMANDS A TRIAL BY JURY

Respectfully submitted,

Marc P. Trent An Attorney for Plaintiff

Marc P. Trent

Marc P. Trent TRENT LAW FIRM, P.C. ARDC No. 6324928 1834 Walden Office Square Suite 500 Schaumburg, IL 60173 (630) 682-3100 service@trentlawfirm.com

EXHIBIT Case 1:22-cv-00401 Document #: 1 Filed: 01/24/22 Page 15 of 23 PageID #:15

ED CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION	Charge	e Presented To:	Agenc No(s):	y(ies) Charge
This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974. See enclosed Privacy Act		FEPA	. ,	
Statement and other information before completing this form.	X	EEOC	440	-2021-05694
ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF H	IAMU	N RIGHTS		and EEOC
State or local Agency, if a	any			
Name (indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.)		Home Phone		Year of Birth
MR. DAVID J LEFFLER		630-995-2	805	1975
Street Address City, State and Zi	IP Code			
8741 W. SUMMERDALE AVE. #1F, CHICAGO,IL 6065	56		78.	
Named is the Employer, Labor Organization, Employment Agency, Apprentice That I Believe Discriminated Against Me or Others. (<i>If more than two, list und</i>	ship Com <i>ler PARTI</i>	mittee, or State or CULARS below.)	Local Go	vernment Agency
Name		No. Employees, Member	s	Phone No.
ANN & ROBERT H. LURIE CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL OF CHICAGO		Unknown	(3:	12) 227-4000
Street Address City, State and Z	IP Code			
225 E. CHICAGO AVE., CHICAGO, IL 60611				2
Name		No. Employees, Member	s	Phone No.
		200		
Street Address City, State and Z	IP Code			
DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(es).)				TON TOOK PLACE
X RACE COLOR X SEX RELIGION NATIO	ONAL ORIG	Earlie 03-04-		Latest 03-04-2021
			2021	03-04-2021
X RETALIATION X AGE DISABILITY GENETIC II	NFORMATIO	ON _	1	
OTHER (Specify)			CONTIN	UING ACTION
THE PARTICULARS ARE (If additional paper is needed, attach extra sheet(s)):				

I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or local Agency, if any. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or phone number and I will cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their procedures.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.

NOTARY - When necessary for State and Local Agency Requirements

I swear or affirm that I have read the above charge and that it is true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. SIGNATURE OF COMPLAINANT

Digitally signed by David J Leffler on 10-19-2021 11:49 AM EDT

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS DATE (month, day, year)

EEOC Form 5 (11/09)

AMENDED CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION	Charge Presented To:	Agency(ies) Charge No(s):
This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974. See enclosed Privacy Act Statement and other information before completing this form.	FEPA	140(3).
Statement and other information before completing this form.	X EEOC	440-2021-05694
ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF H	IUMAN RIGHTS	and EEOC
State or local Agency, if a	any	
I was hired by Respondent on or around January 22, 26 Maintenance Engineer Level 2. On or around March 4, I believe I have been discriminated against because of retaliation, in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights A I believe I have been discriminated against because of violation of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act	2021, I was dischard my race, White, se ct of 1964. my age, 45, (Date o	ged. x, male, and of birth: 1975), in

I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or local Agency, if any. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or phone number and I will cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their procedures.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.

Digitally signed by David J Leffler on 10-19-2021 11:49 AM EDT NOTARY - When necessary for State and Local Agency Requirements

I swear or affirm that I have read the above charge and that it is true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.
SIGNATURE OF COMPLAINANT

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS DATE (month, day, year)

CP Enclosure with EEOC Form 5 (11/09)

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT: Under the Privacy Act of 1974, Pub. Law 93-579, authority to request personal data and its uses are:

- 1. FORM NUMBER/TITLE/DATE. EEOC Form 5, Charge of Discrimination (11/09).
- **2. AUTHORITY.** 42 U.S.C. 2000e-5(b), 29 U.S.C. 211, 29 U.S.C. 626, 42 U.S.C. 12117, 42 U.S.C. 2000ff-6.
- **3. PRINCIPAL PURPOSES.** The purposes of a charge, taken on this form or otherwise reduced to writing (whether later recorded on this form or not) are, as applicable under the EEOC anti-discrimination statutes (EEOC statutes), to preserve private suit rights under the EEOC statutes, to invoke the EEOC's jurisdiction and, where dual-filing or referral arrangements exist, to begin state or local proceedings.
- **4. ROUTINE USES.** This form is used to provide facts that may establish the existence of matters covered by the EEOC statutes (and as applicable, other federal, state or local laws). Information given will be used by staff to guide its mediation and investigation efforts and, as applicable, to determine, conciliate and litigate claims of unlawful discrimination. This form may be presented to or disclosed to other federal, state or local agencies as appropriate or necessary in carrying out EEOC's functions. A copy of this charge will ordinarily be sent to the respondent organization against which the charge is made.
- **5. WHETHER DISCLOSURE IS MANDATORY; EFFECT OF NOT GIVING INFORMATION.** Charges must be reduced to writing and should identify the charging and responding parties and the actions or policies complained of. Without a written charge, EEOC will ordinarily not act on the complaint. Charges under Title VII, the ADA or GINA must be sworn to or affirmed (either by using this form or by presenting a notarized statement or unsworn declaration under penalty of perjury); charges under the ADEA should ordinarily be signed. Charges may be clarified or amplified later by amendment. It is not mandatory that this form be used to make a charge.

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO REQUEST SUBSTANTIAL WEIGHT REVIEW

Charges filed at a state or local Fair Employment Practices Agency (FEPA) that dual-files charges with EEOC will ordinarily be handled first by the FEPA. Some charges filed at EEOC may also be first handled by a FEPA under worksharing agreements. You will be told which agency will handle your charge. When the FEPA is the first to handle the charge, it will notify you of its final resolution of the matter. Then, if you wish EEOC to give Substantial Weight Review to the FEPA's final findings, you must ask us in writing to do so within 15 days of your receipt of its findings. Otherwise, we will ordinarily adopt the FEPA's finding and close our file on the charge.

NOTICE OF NON-RETALIATION REQUIREMENTS

Please **notify** EEOC or the state or local agency where you filed your charge **if retaliation is taken against you or others** who oppose discrimination or cooperate in any investigation or lawsuit concerning this charge. Under Section 704(a) of Title VII, Section 4(d) of the ADEA, Section 503(a) of the ADA and Section 207(f) of GINA, it is unlawful for an *employer* to discriminate against present or former employees or job applicants, for an *employment agency* to discriminate against anyone, or for a *union* to discriminate against its members or membership applicants, because they have opposed any practice made unlawful by the statutes, or because they have made a charge, testified, assisted, or participated in any manner in an

Case: 1:22-cv-00401 Document #: 1 Filed: 01/24/22 Page 18 of 23 PageID #:18

investigation, proceeding, or hearing under the laws. The Equal Pay Act has similar provisions and Section 503(b) of the ADA prohibits coercion, intimidation, threats or interference with anyone for exercising or enjoying, or aiding or encouraging others in their exercise or enjoyment of, rights under the Act.

Case: 1:22-cv-00401 Document #: 1 Filed: 01/24/22 Page 19 of 23 PageID #:19

EEOC Form 161 (11/2020)

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

DISMISSAL AND NOTICE OF RIGHTS

	DISIVII	SSAL AND NOTICE OF	Кібпіз	
8741	J. Leffler W. Summerdale Ave. #1F go, IL 60656	From:	Chicago District Offic 230 S. Dearborn Suite 1866 Chicago, IL 60604	EXHIBIT
	On behalf of person(s) aggrieve CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §160			
EEOC Charg		presentative		Telephone No.
	Shuwn H			
440-2021-0	05694 Investig	ator		(312) 872-9733
THE EEO	IS CLOSING ITS FILE ON THIS C	CHARGE FOR THE FOLLO	WING REASON:	
	The facts alleged in the charge fail to s	state a claim under any of the s	tatutes enforced by the EE	EOC.
	Your allegations did not involve a disa	bility as defined by the America	ns With Disabilities Act.	
	The Respondent employs less than the	e required number of employee	es or is not otherwise cove	red by the statutes.
	Your charge was not timely filed w discrimination to file your charge	ith EEOC; in other words, yo	ou waited too long after	the date(s) of the alleged
X	The EEOC issues the following determination about whether further in have no merit. This determination dimakes no finding as to the merits of an	nvestigation would establish vio loes not certify that the respo	plations of the statute. This ndent is in compliance wi	s does not mean the claims th the statutes. The EEOC
	The EEOC has adopted the findings o	f the state or local fair employm	nent practices agency that	investigated this charge.
	Other (briefly state)			
		NOTICE OF SUIT RIGHT e additional information attached to		
Piscrimina You may file lawsuit mus lost. (The ti Equal Pay alleged EPA	e Americans with Disabilities Act tion in Employment Act: This will a a lawsuit against the respondent(set be filed WITHIN 90 DAYS of you me limit for filing suit based on a class Act (EPA): EPA suits must be filed a underpayment. This means that be file suit may not be collectible.	be the only notice of dismis s) under federal law based of ur receipt of this notice; of im under state law may be do in federal or state court with	sal and of your right to son this charge in federary your right to sue based ifferent.) in 2 years (3 years for w	sue that we will send you. I or state court. Your I on this charge will be
beleft you	The dute may not be concentrated	On behalf of the Comr	mission	
	Tulían	ne Bowman/eh		10/26/2021
Enclosures(s				(Date Issued)
Lilolosuies(s		Julianne Bowman, District Director		(Date Issued)
cc:		5.50.130 51100001		
Jo Ch An 22 Bo	ni M. Duncan nief Human Resources Officer IN&ROBERT H. LURIE CHILDREN 5 E. Chicago Avenue ox 14 nicago, IL 60611	N HOSPITAL		

Case: 1:22-cv-00401 Document #: 1 Filed: 01/24/22 Page 20 of 23 PageID #:20

Enclosure with EEOC Form 161 (11/2020)

INFORMATION RELATED TO FILING SUIT UNDER THE LAWS ENFORCED BY THE EEOC

(This information relates to filing suit in Federal or State court <u>under Federal law.</u>

If you also plan to sue claiming violations of State law, please be aware that time limits and other provisions of State law may be shorter or more limited than those described below.)

PRIVATE SUIT RIGHTS

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act (GINA), or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA):

In order to pursue this matter further, you must file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) named in the charge within 90 days of the date you receive this Notice. Therefore, you should keep a record of this date. Once this 90-day period is over, your right to sue based on the charge referred to in this Notice will be lost. If you intend to consult an attorney, you should do so promptly. Give your attorney a copy of this Notice, and its envelope or record of receipt, and tell him or her the date you received it. Furthermore, in order to avoid any question that you did not act in a timely manner, it is prudent that your suit be filed within 90 days of the date this Notice was issued to you (as indicated where the Notice is signed) or the date of the postmark or record of receipt, if later.

Your lawsuit may be filed in U.S. District Court or a State court of competent jurisdiction. (Usually, the appropriate State court is the general civil trial court.) Whether you file in Federal or State court is a matter for you to decide after talking to your attorney. Filing this Notice is not enough. You must file a "complaint" that contains a short statement of the facts of your case which shows that you are entitled to relief. Your suit may include any matter alleged in the charge or, to the extent permitted by court decisions, matters like or related to the matters alleged in the charge. Generally, suits are brought in the State where the alleged unlawful practice occurred, but in some cases can be brought where relevant employment records are kept, where the employment would have been, or where the respondent has its main office. If you have simple questions, you usually can get answers from the office of the clerk of the court where you are bringing suit, but do not expect that office to write your complaint or make legal strategy decisions for you.

PRIVATE SUIT RIGHTS -- Equal Pay Act (EPA):

EPA suits must be filed in court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment: back pay due for violations that occurred **more than 2 years (3 years)** before you file suit may not be collectible. For example, if you were underpaid under the EPA for work performed from 7/1/08 to 12/1/08, you should file suit before 7/1/10 – not 12/1/10 –- in order to recover unpaid wages due for July 2008. This time limit for filing an EPA suit is separate from the 90-day filing period under Title VII, the ADA, GINA or the ADEA referred to above. Therefore, if you also plan to sue under Title VII, the ADA, GINA or the ADEA, in addition to suing on the EPA claim, suit must be filed within 90 days of this Notice and within the 2- or 3-year EPA back pay recovery period.

ATTORNEY REPRESENTATION -- Title VII, the ADA or GINA:

If you cannot afford or have been unable to obtain a lawyer to represent you, the U.S. District Court having jurisdiction in your case may, in limited circumstances, assist you in obtaining a lawyer. Requests for such assistance must be made to the U.S. District Court in the form and manner it requires (you should be prepared to explain in detail your efforts to retain an attorney). Requests should be made well before the end of the 90-day period mentioned above, because such requests do <u>not</u> relieve you of the requirement to bring suit within 90 days.

ATTORNEY REFERRAL AND EEOC ASSISTANCE -- All Statutes:

You may contact the EEOC representative shown on your Notice if you need help in finding a lawyer or if you have any questions about your legal rights, including advice on which U.S. District Court can hear your case. If you need to inspect or obtain a copy of information in EEOC's file on the charge, please request it promptly in writing and provide your charge number (as shown on your Notice). While EEOC destroys charge files after a certain time, all charge files are kept for at least 6 months after our last action on the case. Therefore, if you file suit and want to review the charge file, please make your review request within 6 months of this Notice. (Before filing suit, any request should be made within the next 90 days.)

IF YOU FILE SUIT, PLEASE SEND A COPY OF YOUR COURT COMPLAINT TO THIS OFFICE.

Case: 1:22-cv-00401 Document #: 1 Filed: 01/24/22 Page 21 of 23 Page 21





NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION

The Progressive Disciplinary Action process is designed to give employees an opportunity to correct problems such as performance, conduct and attendance. However, failure to improve such problems may result in more serious disciplinary action up to and including termination of employment.

Employee Name: David Leffler	Employee ID: 35251
Job Title: Maintenance Engineer I	Department: Facilities

Date of Hire: 1/22/2018

Discip	linary Action: (Check all 1	that apply)
	Verbal Warning	Click here to enter a date.
	Written Warning	Click here to enter a date.
	Final Warning	Click here to enter a date.
\boxtimes	Termination	3/4/2021
	Administrative Leave	Click here to enter a date.
ssue:	(Check all that apply)	
	Attendance/Tardiness	
	Performance	
\boxtimes	Conduct	
\boxtimes	Violation of Policy/Core	e Values

Other (specify) Click here to enter text.

Historical Information: What lead to the current situation? List previous dates and related incidents

July 2019: A hotline caller reported being offended by the original United States flag that was hung in David's cubicle, which some associate with this country's history of slavery. Leadership within the Facilities Department removed the flag. Eric Hoffman, VP for facilities spoke with David to advise why the flag was removed. The flag had been known to be displayed by white supremacy groups.

April / May 2020: A hotline report was filed regarding allegations of an altercation between David and another employee. A colleague reported David's aggressive communication regarding firearms, which prompted the coworker to attempt to contact the police. In the investigation, it was discovered that David had items posted on his bulletin board in his cubicle including a decal of a white rabbit which has been linked to a militia group representing white supremacy.

Specific Details of Incident: Please reference all relevant documents and policies /policies

A hotline report was filed with Corporate Compliance regarding decals that David had in his space at Clark and Deming, which were offensive to the caller. In addition, it was reported that David made disparaging remarks against the LBTQ community. Upon investigation, it was discovered that on February 4, 2021, Phil Rominski had requested that the 2 decals be removed, one of the "Three Percenters", and one of QAnon. On February 5,

Case: 1:22-cv-00401 Document #: 1 Filed: 01/24/22 Page 22 of 23 PageID #:22

2021, the decals were not removed but covered by 2 additional decals, The Original Homeland Security and Don't Tread on Me, which has been associated with militia movements. An employee also reported that David stated that individuals who identify as LGBTQ should not be permitted to serve in the military.

David was interviewed on February 18, 2021 by staff from Human Resources, Security and Corporate Compliance regarding the allegations. David admitted that he did not remove the decals as instructed and instead covered them up with other decals of a similar nature that precipitated the initial complaint. David did recall that during a previous conversation it was explained to him that he could not post offensive symbols in his workspace.

Despite these prior discussions, David failed to consider the impact of his conduct on his coworkers and ignored a directive to remove the decals he posted. His comment regarding military service of individuals who identify as LGBTQ does not comply with our non-discrimination policy. He has, on numerous occasions, utilized work space to advocate for militia groups, which do not align with the Hospital's values.

Therefore, David's employment is being terminated effective immediately.

List	documents/	attac	hments	given:

Click here to enter text.

Describe expectations, action plan and/or next steps:

Click here to enter text.

Employee Comments:

Disciplinary Action may be contested through the Problem Resolution Process by contacting Human Resources (extension 73030 or hrbconsulting@luriechildrens.org) within 7 business days of issuance of the disciplinary action. Verbal disciplinary actions and/or any disciplinary actions issued during the 90-day Introductory Period cannot be contested).

Name (print)	Signature	Date
Employee:	(Signature acknowledges that this disciplinary action has been reviewed with me and does not	3/4/2021
David Leffler	necessarily indicate agreement).	

Case: 1:22-cv-00401 Document #: 1 Filed: 01/24/22 Page 23 of 23 PageID #:23

Issuing Manager:	3/4/2021
Steve Barstatis	Charles !
Witness: (2 nd Department Leader if necessary)	3/4/2021
Phil Rominski	· M

Original – Department File Copy – Employee Copy – HR File